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## Bagkround \& Objectives

## Background \& Objectives

After a few successful years of growth of their sparkling water line in the US, Good For All is looking to expand their sparkling water operations to Europe. Two key markets have been identified for 2018: UK and France.

To ensure that they are gaining traction for their products at launch, Good For All would like to run a Line Optimizer ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ study to identify which flavors should be offered in each market to maximize reach.

Hypotheses / Assumptions

- At least 80\% of each market will be reached with the flavors upon launch
- Ideally, at least two flavors will be consistent across both markets, but will offer unique flavors per market to meet market preferences if necessary


## What combination of flavors will maximize reach for Good For All's new sparkling water line in UK and France?

Through tradeoff analysis, prioritize combinations of flavors based on purchase intent:

- Identify combinations that have at least 2 flavors that are consistent across markets to leverage operational efficiencies

Understand the relative strength of each flavor based on the following diagnostic measures:

- Frequency, Uniqueness, Familiarity


Stimuli Tested

| Flavors Tested |
| :---: |
| Pure (Unflavored Seltzer) |
| Lime |
| Grapefruit Lime |
| Black Cherry |
| Cherry Lime |
| Mixed Berry |
| Blackberry |
| Raspberry |
| Cranberry |
| Orange |
| Pear |
| Watermelon |
| Mango |
| Strawberry |

## Product Description

Good For All is a healthy
food brand that offers an unsweetened, naturally flavored, zero-calorie sparkling water in a variety of flavors.
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 MethodologyThis study was conducted via an online quantitative survey. Each survey lasted approximately 10 minutes.

| Method | \# of Flavors Tested |
| :---: | :---: |
| TURF | 16 |
| (Total Unduplicated Reach and Frequency) |  |


| Screening Question | Qualifying Criteria |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | $18-64$ |
| Gender | Males / Females |
| Region | Capture |
| Beverages Purchased in Past 3 Months | Flavored Sparkling Water |
| Beverages Consumed in the Past Month | Flavored Sparkling Water |

## TURF analysis allows GutCheck to identify the set of varieties that will maximize reach of a product.

- TURF uses trade-off analysis data to create combinations and calculate the reach (i.e., the percentage of the audience that would be satisfied) with the varieties offered.
- An experimental design is created based on the number of varieties being tested.
- Using this data, the analysis creates a ranking of the varieties tested to show how the varieties compare to each other in terms of consumer preference.

Considering only these 3 flavors, which is the Most Appealing and which is the Least Appealing?

| Most <br> Appealing | Vanilla | Least <br> Appealing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ | Chocolate | $\bigcirc$ |
| $\bigcirc$ | Strawberry | $\bigcirc$ |
| $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |

Combinations for maximum research: Used to determine what individual flavors and what combinations provide the greatest reach

|  | Flavor 1 | Flavor 2 | Flavor 4 | Flavor 8 | Flavor 9 | Flavor 10 | Flavor 12 | Flavor 13 | Flavor 15 | Flavor 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reach | 42\% | 41\% | 34\% | 33\% | 28\% | 26\% | 25\% | 23\% | 23\% | 18\% |
| 94\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 93\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 93\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 93\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Varieties tested that are present in the top combinations analyzed

Each variety has its own individual color and is shaded when present in a combination set

GutCheck's Diagnostic Scorecard helps prioritize varieties in top combinations based on key metrics.

| Concept Name | \% Best Results | Fit with Brand | Uniqueness | Clarity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Concepts sorted in descending order of \% Best scores |  | Top Box | Top Box | Top Box |
| Flavor 3 | 42\% | 51\% | 41\% | 50\% |
| Flavor 1 | 41\% | 62\% | 51\% | 50\% |
| Flavor 9 | 34\% | 62\% | 48\% | 47\% |
| Flavor 8 | 33\% | 61\% | 46\% | 45\% |
| Flavor 10 | 28\% | 44\% | 33\% | 39\% |
| Flavor 2 | 26\% | 46\% | 49\% | 43\% |
| Flavor 6 | 25\% | 43\% | 41\% | 43\% |
| Flavor 7 | 18\% | 58\% | 41\% | 33\% |
| Flavor 5 | 18\% | 51\% | 34\% | 42\% |
| Flavor 4 | 18\% | 33\% | 35\% | 32\% |
| Mean |  | 50\% | 39\% | 40\% |
| Varieties are sorted in number of \% Best performance. \% Best scores are calculated based on the number of times each variety was selected as "Best" |  | The mean score is calculated independently for each metric |  | The shaded cells indicated that the concept is considered to be an "outlier" means the concept performed above the average of the others, red means the concept performed below the average of the others |
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## Executive Summary

## Good For All can reach about 90\% of both the France and UK market with 4 flavors.

- Going from 3 to 4 flavors in both countries increases reach by $5 \%$ in the UK and $4 \%$ in France. After 4 flavors, reach only increases incrementally.
- If Good For All is satisfied with $80 \%$ or higher reach, it could also move forward with 3 flavors in each country and reduce overall costs.


## Percent Reach



## Good For All should offer the same set of 4-flavor combinations in the UK and France to maximize reach and operational efficiency.

Both markets share 5 combinations of flavors that enable Good For All to reach about $90 \%$ of each market and leverage operational efficiencies of producing the same flavors.

- The most preferred flavors from each individual market are in the top combinations (Strawberry for France; Lemon Lime for UK).
- Top combinations include at least one berry flavor in addition to lemon lime to maximize reach.
- Preferred flavors are typically familiar, as uniqueness is not an attribute desired in a flavor for sparkling water.
- If Good For All prefers to offer unique flavors in each market, the top unique flavors include Pear and Watermelon in the UK, and Cranberry and Orange in France.


## GGutcheck

## Detailed Findings

## United Kingdom

In the UK, Good For All can achieve up to 90\% reach with 4 flavors in its new flavored sparkling water line.


Top combinations of 4 flavors tend to include Strawberry and Lemon Lime, in addition to one other berry or citrus flavor.


Flavored sparkling water purchasers in the UK have a strong preference for berry flavors (excluding Cranberry); whereas citrus flavors are more polarizing.


## Flavors that are less unique and more familiar to consumers are preferred.

| Concept Name |  | Frequency | Uniqueness | Familiarity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flavors sorted in descending order of \% Best |  | 1x/week or more often | TB | TB |
| Strawberry | 38\% | 32\% | 15\% | 40\% |
| Raspberry | 36\% | 21\% | 18\% | 36\% |
| Mixed Berry | 33\% | 30\% | 22\% | 26\% |
| Mango | 33\% | 32\% | 28\% | 20\% |
| Lemon Lime | 31\% | 27\% | 21\% | 41\% |
| Black Cherry | 30\% | 14\% | 29\% | 12\% |
| Blackberry | 26\% | 19\% | 26\% | 19\% |
| Orange | 24\% | 28\% | 19\% | 33\% |
| Lemon | 24\% | 22\% | 13\% | 35\% |
| Cherry Lime | 23\% | 11\% | 34\% | 7\% |
| Pear | 22\% | 11\% | 26\% | 15\% |
| Lime | 20\% | 18\% | 12\% | 34\% |
| Watermelon | 20\% | 14\% | 37\% | 16\% |
| Grapefruit | 19\% | 16\% | 32\% | 17\% |
| Cranberry | 16\% | 12\% | 18\% | 23\% |
| Pure (Unflavored Seltzer) | 5\% | 17\% | 16\% | 33\% |
| Mean | - | 20\% | 25\% | 25\% |

## Gcutcheck

## Detailed Findings

France

In France, Good For All can achieve up to 89\% reach with 4 flavors in its new flavored sparkling water line.


Lemon Lime is included in all of the top flavor combinations, in addition to at least one berry flavor.


Consumers clearly prefer Lemon Lime and berry flavors; however they dislike some similar flavor profiles such as Black Cherry, Grapefruit, and Cranberry.


[^0]The top combinations include flavors consumers are most familiar with, such as Strawberry; unique flavors, like Watermelon, are less favorable.
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## Appenclix

Appendix: Sample Demographics

| Gender | UK <br> 47\% | France$46 \%$ | Beverages Purchased P3M | UK | France | Beverages | UK | France |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  | Consumed P3M |  |  |
| Female | 59\% | 54\% | Flavored Sparkling Water | 100\% | 100\% | Flavored Sparkling Water | 100\% | 100\% |
| Age | UK | France | Coffee/Tea | 93\% | 96\% | Coffee/Tea | 93\% | 94\% |
| 18-34 | 10\% | 12\% | Sports and Energy Drinks | 68\% | 54\% | Sports and Energy Drinks | 62\% | 51\% |
| 25-34 | 30\% | 21\% | Non-Carbonated Water (flavored and unflavored) | 77\% | 90\% | Non-Carbonated Water (flavored and unflavored) | 71\% | 90\% |
| 35-44 | 29\% | 32\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45-50 | 12\% | 13\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51-64 | 20\% | 24\% | Fruit Juice | 92\% | 96\% | Fruit Juice | 89\% | 97\% |
|  |  |  | Milk | 95\% | 95\% | Milk | 92\% | 87\% |
|  |  |  | Carbonated Soda | 82\% | 90\% | Carbonated Soda | 80\% | 86\% |
|  |  |  | Unflavored Sparkling Water | 65\% | 88\% | Unflavored Sparkling Water | 68\% | 87\% |


[^0]:    For each variety, a "best" and " worst" percentage are calculated by dividing the number of times a variety was selected as best and worst, respectively, by the number of times the variety was shown. All $n=200$ respondents saw each flavor 3 times in the trade-off exercise, so percentages are based on $n=600$ views per flavor.
    Q: Considering only the flavors below, which would you be most likely to purchase, and which would you be least likely to purchase?

